

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE DUN001-D1 01/20/2004 Lendy Dunaway 10/760,600 EXAMINER 12/03/2004 25962 7590 PARADISO, JOHN ROGER SLATER & MATSIL, L.L.P. 17950 PRESTON RD, SUITE 1000 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT DALLAS, TX 75252-5793 3721

**DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del></del>		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		10/760,600		DUNAWAY, LENDY		
	Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	
			John R Paradiso		3721	
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communi Reply	cation appe	ears on the cover she	et with the c	orrespondence ac	idress
THE MA - Extension after SI - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure to Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commit riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 priod for reply is specified above, the maximum state or reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months at patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136 unication. o) days, a reply vitutory period will will, by statute, o	S(a). In no event, however, n within the statutory minimum I apply and will expire SIX (6 cause the application to beco	nay a reply be tim of thirty (30) days MONTHS from to ome ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 January 2004.						
2a)□ T	a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	of Claims					
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	4) □ Claim(s) 18-43 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 18-43 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application	Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
	References Cited (PTO-892)	0.048)		iew Summary (l No(s)/Mail Dat		
3) 🔲 Informati	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P b(s)/Mail Date	-		e of Informal Pa	tent Application (PTC	)-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/760,600

Art Unit: 3721

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The Non-Final Action mailed 10/20/2004 mistakenly contained only the draft version of the Action on the Merits. The present Action supercedes and replaces that action. The time period for response is re-started as of the mailing date of this present action. Examiner apologizes for any confusion.

### Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does not describe the claimed method.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/760,600

Art Unit: 3721

4. Claims 18-43 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over YU (US 5279841) in view of KALBERER ET AL (US 4830273).

YU discloses a method of forming a multiple-food container consisting of a first cylindrical section (3) defining a first compartment and a second cylindrical section (5) defining a second compartment. The first section is filled with a first food or beverage and the second section is filled with a second food or beverage. Each section is sealed and the sections separably coupled together by means of a modular connector (4) and the fittings therefor. (See YU column 3:50-4:9 and figures 2 and 6-6C.)

YU does not specifically disclose the seal on the second section being placed on the side or the lid being resealable.

KALBERER ET AL discloses a food container with a removable and / or resealable lid placed on the side of the container. (See KALBERER ET AL figures 1-4)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of YU by making the seal on the second section on the side of the section, in order to allow for gravity feed of the contents, when appropriate (such as for melted cheese, or other viscous liquid).

Regarding claim 21, Applicant is given Official Notice that the use of resealable "over-seals" is notoriously well known in the art and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to seal the first section to preserve the freshness of the food therein and thereafter fit the first section with a resealable "over-seal" so that the package can be reclosed after the first consumer usage.

Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claim 22, Applicant is given Official Notice that the use of rotatable oversleeves is well known in the packaging art and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add such an over-sleeve to the combination of YU and KALBERER ET AL in order to increase the level of freshness for the consumer.

## Reference Citations

- 5. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure:
- LINNER discloses a multiple-beverage container with openings in the sides.
- KOPP discloses a multiple-section container filled with different foods.
- FOHRMAN discloses a multiple-section container filled with different foods.
- KENDRICK discloses a multiple-section container filled with different foods.
- GRENELL discloses a multiple-section container with threads to attach the sections to one another.
- YANNUZZI, JR. disclose a container with an opening on the side.
- ADOLF ET AL disclose a container with an opening in the bottom to provide gravity feed of the contents.
- WRIGHT discloses a multiple-section container with threads to attach the sections to one another.
- TSUKADA ET AL discloses the use of a rotative seal around the cylindrical section of an article.
- GASPARRINI ET AL discloses the use of a rotative seal around a package.

Art Unit: 3721

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Paradiso. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 9:30 p.m. - 6:00 p.m. (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at the number listed below.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Technology Center Receptionist.

Examiner John Paradiso: (571) 272-4466

November 26, 2004

Additional Phone Numbers:

Supervisor Rinaldi Rada: (5 TC 3700 Receptionist: (7

(571) 308-7135 (703) 308-1148

Fax (Official):

(703) 872-9306